

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.eou

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/829,643	04/10/2001	Isaiah Moore JR.	IM-1	7659
75	90 10/14/2004		EXAM	INER
Michael I. Kro	oll		ARYANPOL	JR, MITRA
171 Stillwell La Syosset, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI	
byossei, ivi	11/21		3711	
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>b</i>
	09/829,643	MOORE, ISAIAH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mitra Aryanpour	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communicat  D (35 U.S.C-§ 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	<u>uly 2004</u> .		
,	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	33 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 24-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 24-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 24-33 are objected to because of the following informalities: In the amended claim 24, lines 19 and 20, it appears that a word has been inadvertently left out after "a regulation bat at the ball". Appropriate correction is required for the above objection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Randall (3,099,450) in view of Franklin (Instructional Booklet for Major League Pitching Trainer).

Regarding claim 24 and 25, <u>Randall</u> shows a baseball batting practice apparatus and method of use, comprising: <u>selecting</u> a resilient (see column 1, lines 20-25) spherical ball (A) from which a segment (B) has been removed to leave the ball comprised of an exterior spherical portion (1) and a flat area (2), the removed segment being sized such that a perpendicular line from the center of the flat area to the opposite side of the remaining spherical portion is equal to or between forty-five and fifty-five percent (see column 1, lines 36-40 and lines 63-66) of the original spherical ball diameter; Randall provides instructions for illustrating (Note: to illustrate is taken to mean to clarify, as by use of examples or comparisons or steps) at least one of grips, arm movement and release methods for pitching a baseball (see column 1, lines 44-50);

Art Unit: 3711

additionally, Randall provides the steps for enabling the first player, in the instant case the pitcher to grip, throw and release the half-ball in a manner demonstrated by the steps or instructions in order to carryout one of several chosen pitches (see column 1, lines 51-62; and column 2, lines 23-26); and further providing the steps for enabling a second player, in the instant case the batter to swing a bat having a diameter smaller than a regulation baseball bat (see column 2, lines 23-26) at the ball, wherein such steps enables the batter to attempt to identify standard pitches associated with arm movements, and improve the batter's batting skills. With regards to the use of an instructional table, Randall gives a few representative examples for carrying out different pitches using the modified ball. Randall in his Patent shows these steps in text-form and not in tables, charts and graphs. However, it is well known that for marketing purposes, often times instructions are illustrated in the form of tables and figures to demonstrate the method of use or assembly for the end user. Franklin also shows the above feature. In view of Franklin it would have been obvious to have provided an instructional booklet having tables and figures for the training apparatus of Randall, the motivation being, so the end user can more readily carryout the instructions necessary for using the apparatus of Randall to more readily cause the projectile to sail or glide through the air.

4. Claims 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art of record as applied to claim 25 and further in view of "The Visual Dictionary of Baseball (TVDB)".

Regarding claims 26-33, <u>Randall</u> does not disclose expressly each and every arm movement known in baseball and softball. Randall gives a few representative examples for gripping, throwing and releasing the half-ball. e.g. the ball can be held so that the flat side is

tilted at a slight angle to the right, left, up or down, thus producing variations from normal flight, by doing so a number of varieties of pitching techniques can be developed. Randall defines the steps required for gripping, throwing and releasing the half-ball, one familiar with baseball would be able to determine the type of pitch. Additionally, Randall teaches that a whip-like sidearm pitch can be employed, so that the projectile, when released, is given a spinning motion about its own axis, with the lower flat surface generally parallel with the ground (see column 1, lines 44-62). Perhaps, since the steps (arm positioning/movements) are so well known and conventional, the patentee (Randall) may have thought that no additional comments were required for the remaining known pitches. In the event applicant disagrees that the pitches are old and well known, TVDB demonstrates several well-known pitches e.g. fastball, curveball, knuckleball, slider, sinkerball, etc. (see pages 26 and 27). Therefore, in view of Randall's own teaching and TVDB it would have been obvious to carryout a pitch in any well-known manner, the motivation being, to achieve the desired pitch and to make the game of Randall more interesting and educational for the end user. It should be noted that during normal use and operation of the Randall device, the method steps set forth by applicant in the claim is inherently provided.

Page 4

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 21 July 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As applicant has indicated, the inclusion of the transitional phrase "consisting of" in claim 24 further restricts the scope of the claimed invention. However, The Randal reference as modified meets the limitations of the claimed invention. During normal use and operation of the Randall device, the method steps set forth by applicant in the claim is inherently provided.

Art Unit: 3711

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mitra Aryanpour whose telephone number is 703-308-3550. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA

08 October 2004

MITRA ARYANPOUR
PATENT EXAMINER